


PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 30 Sept 67 01/0400Z	2. LOCATION ITHACA, NEW YORK (3 Witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION PROBABLE: (HOT AIR BALLOON)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	The description is suitable to that of a garment bag hot air balloon.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 1 Minute	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer sighted a luminous yellow light that moved across the sky at the speed of an Echo satellite. It finally gave the appearance of disintegrating when it dropped 3 or 4 smaller yellow peices, which burned out before they reached the ground.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE North	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

① Mr. Fei points
out object

② I stop a man
and ask him what
he thinks it is.

④ object disintegrates



Map



apparent
path of
object

four (4)

Case includes ~~three~~ (3) 8" x 10" photographs

FEB 08 1968

Dr. Condon
University of Colorado
202 Woodbury Hall
Boulder, Colorado 80302

Dear Sir

The following information is forwarded for your review.

The actual sighting occurred on 21 September 1967, however, it was not possible to obtain the attached infrared photos until 6 February 1968.

Mr. Kenneth Pletcher is a free lance photographer specializing in infrared work. The equipment used was a Mamiya, Autolux 35 Camera. Settings were 1/30 second, ASA 20 Automatic, F 2.8. Film was Kodak Infrared IR 135-20. Filter used was Kodak Wratten "A" filter, number 25. He developed the film using Microdol X, Standard dilution for 20 minutes. Mr. Pletcher still has the film, but is reluctant to release it (I suspect he is preparing a magazine article). The attached newspaper account is submitted to serve as narrative. Weather was not a factor; it was a clear, cold night.

Sincerely

SIGNED

LINDSAY A. HAYES, Capt, USAF
UFO Project Officer
313-465-1241 Ext 3115/2139
Home Phone: 313-463-4618

1 Atch
Grand Rapids Newspaper Article

Cy to: FTD (TDETR)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio

WEDDINGS
PORTRAITS

INDUSTRIAL
ADVERTISING

BLACK AND WHITE - COLOR

Mr. Ken Pletcher
KENSWAY PHOTOS

418 RIVERSIDE DRIVE
LOWELL, MICHIGAN 49331
PHONE TW 7-7185

YOUR WEDDING RECORDED IN STEREO

The Strange Case of Police and the UFOs

By ED KOTLAR

Nearly every member of Lowell's five-man police force, led by Chief Avery Block, has had some contact with UFOs in the last six months.

The first sighting was reported in July, the last one on Sept. 21. In between, at least five sightings were encountered and reported to Lowell police, with the most active period Sept. 22 and 23.

During the September activity, Ken Fletcher, an experienced amateur photographer who recently retired from Lear Corp. here and opened a photo studio in Lowell, reportedly took some sharp pictures of the objects.

But Fletcher's pictures, taken with infrared film, and his personal account of the incident are not available for publication. He is in the process of selling the pictures and story and reportedly has at least three national magazines in the bag.

Unintentionally, Fletcher was sure he had something on his hands and to avoid any claim that he "doctored up" the pictures, he had a police officer in the dark room during the developing process.

The first sighting of a bright, swift-moving object in the Lowell area was reported in July by Bernie Jankowski, a mechanic at the UFO storage plant and part-time police officer, and a regular officer, Leo Rowland.

Chief Block issued orders at the time that he wanted to be notified immediately if any other sightings were reported.

That was his call at 4:45 a.m. on Sept. 21.

"I was backing out of my driveway. I could see a bright light over the east edge of town," explained the chief. "When I reached the area, I noticed it appeared to be about the size of a wash tub, but it was impossible to tell how high it was.

"It moved real fast to the southeast, then right straight ahead and hovered. I would say between Ionia and Saranac.

"I leaned my head up against a building, so my eyes didn't water," he explained, "but the object moved into my field of vision." He repeated the maneuver with the same result.

Chief Block described the UFO as "very bright, green, red and white with a black strap across the center.

"It may have been a star, but from the movement of it I don't think so."

The following night Lowell officers Jim Hutson and Larry Block also had a rather extensive contact with a UFO and reportedly succeeded in "luring it."

"It was about 1:30 a.m. and we were coming back from delivering a prisoner to Grand Rapids," recalled Hutson. "As we approached the west side of Lowell, we looked up and saw a bright object very low over town. It changed its position to the east.

"We switched on the lights and the object seemed to move



LOWELL POLICE CHIEF Avery Block, left, "Larry and I went to the east," said Hutson, then broke listens as one of his officers, Jim Hutson, explains how he saw an unidentified flying object which

into a broad grin and added: "We thought if there was somebody in it we might be able to attract it with the lights on top of the police cruiser toward us," reported Hutson.


The Lowell officers are as accurate as possible in reporting the incidents, but at the same time are not deadly serious. They are definitely not claiming they saw flying saucers


seemed to be attracted by the lights on his police cruiser.


filled with little green men.


"It's hard to explain," says Hutson. "You have to think for yourself. There's definitely something different about it. You try to find a plausible explanation for it but nothing quite fits. You try to fluff it off, you don't want to believe it."

"But it's different and there has to be an explanation for it; whether it's gas, a star or a reflection, there must be something."









P.M. ~~29~~ 29 Aug 68
(Post marked)

NCTO

23 Sept 61

Since this sighting was
not reported until
nearly a year after
it occurred it is
being filed for
Info Purposes only.


UFO Sighting of Sept 23, 1967 at Rochester (Monroe Co.),
(To Follow of Aug. 3, 1968)

TO: Security Unit for Defense Dep't
Office JCS, Pentagon, Washington, Dist. Col.
% General Mail Room, The Pentagon

Other Figures. The admission of other descriptive nomenclature to the UFO may be a result of combined analysis, as of reports and photographs,

End Of Report Of Sighting Of UFO of Sep't 23, 1967, Rochester, New York (Monroe Cy)

Notes: The writer at about this time was preparing, and filed ipso facto, a statement of religious doctrine with the New York State Constitutional Convention. Comparison of the appearance of the UFO and the filing of the religious statement indicates to the writer a sign of approval or authorization by God to the contents thereof. See enclosure. The note made herein is a prima facie, that is a direct statement which can be subjected to opposing truths, as in logic. No subjective or internal knowledge obtains to the remarks made in the note.



enclosure


ending

30 Sep 67


TDPT/UFO Maj H Quintanilla, Jr/70916/lsb/4 Jan 1968

UFO Observation September 30, 1967

JAN 11 1968


E. Syracuse, N. Y. 13057


1. This replies to your letter of December 30, 1967 in which you requested information on your unidentified observation of September 30, 1967.
2. The description, that you provided on your observation, is consistent with other reports that we have received of plastic garment bag hot air balloons. These balloons usually appear as a luminescent object that is red, orange, yellow or white in color, and usually uses birthday candles for a heat source. After the candles burn down, the stage or platform on which the candles are mounted on sometimes catches fire and sparks can be seen falling from the object. Shortly after the fiery pieces fall the light dims and disappears.
3. We have requested weather data for your area for September 30. If the object that you saw was going in the direction of the surface winds it seems very likely that it was indeed a hot air balloon that you observed.
4. Inclosed is an extract from AFR 80-17 regarding dissemination of information to the public. (Section B, AFR 80-17)
5. We hope that the inclosed Blue Book brochure will be both interesting and informative. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.


HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

2 Atchs
1. Extract
2. Blue Book

count illustrated in Ex. 1 is probably too small...the writer seems to recall in the one or several attempts made to take a visual count (of the objects nearest dim. AB) that he lost the count once at seventeen, and another time at nineteen. In other words the count of the objects nearest dim. AB probably was not less than thirty or more than fifty, sixty, or seventy. Note in regard to the length of dimension AD: the writer made a mechanical estimate, approximately five times the length of the short dimension. This might vary possibly from three times the length of the short side to perhaps seven times the length of the short side. Estimated total count of disc units, 3,645. To measure the angular distance between observer and white circular disc nearest point a: a right triangle abc in which a is the observer, b is the disc nearest a, and c is the point of intersection of the included right angle. The writer can first state he thinks these dimensions are relatively small for two reasons: first, there is no possibility the UFO units being overhead (of West ave.) from visual observation, and were merely observed as being near West ave. and two, unusually, the writer had to hold his head backward somewhat and additionally roll his eyes back somewhat to view the circular white unit nearest point a; the curious circumstance is further enlightened by stating that a certain amount of relief from this manner of viewing was done instinctively, without thought, by mounting several of the first set of steps leading from the street to writer's residence, in order to view the circular white units nearest observer. Hence we have a very good determination in regard to dimension AB (of course we have also a very good determination in regard to dimension AD, in preceding paragraphs). bc previously estimated at five hundred feet; ac estimated, five hundred feet; ab estimated, seven hundred feet.

Perspective View Overall From Observer's Vantage Point, an illustration made for the reader's mind's eye or imaginative initial view, and not for purposes of exactness or accuracy of dimensions. Note: the linear outline did not appear, and is here used for convenience only, to avoid rendering the figure as actually composed of circular white discs.



indicate to this observer the existence of a plane, rectangular figure, for instance, dimension AB appeared to equal several feet in perspective measurement (Rule at arm length) while dimension CD dwindled down to several inches, a striking view when one considers the absolutely regular size and positioning, previously noted, of the individual discs which make up the object. The writer did not at the time of the observation make a perspective measurement, data related thereto is from recollection. Be that as it may, and with the understanding that a competent mathematician may derive a figure of a different form than that of the writer, the following estimates are made from recollection; at point A, the nearest white disc-like object thereto appeared to be at an altitude of several hundred feet, perhaps five hundred feet, and of a perspective diameter approximately one inch. Near symbol B, the UFO unit nearest thereto, at altitude in excess of one thousand feet, perhaps twelve or thirteen hundred feet; and a diameter with a perspective dimension of several sixteenths of an inch, perhaps three or four sixteenths of an inch; at points C and D, the nearest UFO units thereto, at altitude of several thousands of feet, estimated twenty-two hundred feet, and of a perspective dimension several sixty-fourths of an inch, possibly a sixteenth of an inch.

Immobility of the UFO and the disc or wafer units. The object remained fixed and immobile during the observation period. Do not know how terminated. After observing same for perhaps fifteen minutes decided that all there was to observe had been seen, and consequently retired to residence in rooming house at address noted. Do not know at what juncture the object came into existence. The writer was returning to residence from lunch in early or mid-afternoon. At approximately when about to turn off the street and walk up steps of residence, noticed said UFO of circular white discs in sky above. Writer was not ^{very} well physically at time of observation, otherwise a more accurate and complete observation would be forthcoming. Think UFO not of natural origin or manufacture from observable information. (See Ex.2), and note prior statement regarding diagrammatic note made for purposes of recollection only and consequent inexactness and inaccuracy of overall contents and detail; look at figures on left side of exhibit, these represent clouds far separate from the UFO, estimated five miles and at estimated altitude four thousand feet; these clouds appeared to be subject to strong winds, possibly of gale force, the base of each cloud was flat, and the literary term 'scudding' seems an appropriate term to describe same. Consequently the writer thinks the UFO to be of other than terrestrial origin, a legal 'act of God.'

Total count of the individual circular white discs in the object; dimension AB was thought by the writer at time of observation to contain a small enough quantity of the white discs that they could be counted by eye and an attempt was made in relation thereto however the count was lost once or several times, the writer thinks because of the similarity of the discs. At the same time the writer concluded that absolutely no possibility existed for taking a mechanical visual count of the discs nearest dimension AD, so great was the number of circular white discs. The reason the writer goes into detail is, to state his thought in regard to the count of the discs nearest dim. AB, which is, he would not have attempted to count a large quantity of these discs, eighty or ninety or one hundred while at the same time the

occurring in a populated residential area.

Cloud cover: estimated at less than fifteen per cent.

Visibility: clear.

Compass Direction: the UFO appeared to lie on an exact North-South line or axis. The writer thinks the estimate herein referred to would not admit of any great variation, observation vantage point was located on a street known to be constructed on an East-West compass line or axis. See Ex. 1; AD appeared to be perpendicular to East-West compass axis (West Ave) at the writer's observation point, street address 49 West Ave. 14611; AB appeared to be parallel to the above-mentioned known East-West compass-bearing topographical feature. Has consideration been given in the making of these estimates as occurring between different planes? Yes.

Regarding overall dimensions of UFO. See Ex. 1. Dimension AB estimated at about two miles; from this estimate, and in conjunction with another estimate, that AD and BC are approximately five times the length of AB and CD, the writer estimates AD and BC approximately ten miles. Relationship to known object factor: during the observation period the writer observed a large commercial aircraft, symbol Q on Ex. 1 which appeared to be in flight approximately the same plane as the UFO, which is to say, at the same or similar altitude. This aircraft was in a peculiar position as observed by the writer, precariously heeled over to starboard perhaps 45° , on a wing tip so to speak, so that the silhouette presented was that of an underside view to the writer. The course appeared to be about 225° right from North 0° . Prior and subsequent flight of this aircraft unknown and writer's only recollection is the apparently motionless mind's eye photograph. Planes in or near the area noted have been frequently observed by the writer and as apparently prepared for landing procedures at Monroe County Airport. The writer thinks the aircraft may have been farther West than ordinarily seen, and thinks the perspective dimensions of the aircraft may have been smaller than that of planes in this landing approach pattern. Silhouette presented to viewer presented some similarities to former civilian transport and military aircraft C-47. Estimates given in this paragraph ought to be subject to qualification as previously noted. Altitude: the writer will make an altitude estimate in this paragraph which should be qualified as previously noted; another qualification is pertinent, hinging upon the apparent plane (geometrical) figure of the UFO, which of course would result in a varying series of altitudes. Certain factors tend to convince the writer to lean to the plane figure identification, 1) a fairly lengthy period of time of the observation was devoted to attempting to find position flaws of the white, circular disc-like units of the UFO, in their relationship to each other, as one might regard the spots on a domino. However no position flaws could be found. 2) Because of the large overall size of the UFO and the probable thousands of circular discs of which it was constituted, the writer thinks a non-plane figure would show to the eye a slight curvature, at least along AD and BC, the remark is however partly speculative. 3) Perspective dimension of the short legs of the figure (AB and CD) here referring to the view as concerned to the

~~_____~~ St.
Rochester, N.Y. 14619
August 26, 1968

General Science Laboratory
U.S. Fed. Bur. Investigation
Washington, Dist. Col.

OFFICE JCS
DEFENSE DEPT SECURITY UNIT
PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DIST. COL.
c/o GENERAL MAIL ROOM, PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DIST. COL.

26

Ref.: to follow of Aug. 3, 1968.
Gen. Science Lab.: subject UFO
appeared at or near the time
of the autumnal equinox, suggest-
ing an investigation with
appropriate observations and
astronomical instruments in
regard to classification of
the instant of equinox (any
correction thereof), for basic
science purposes.

Dear Sir:

Enclosure, Exhibits 1 and 2. Ex. 2 consists of a rough
diagrammatic note, made several hours after sighting the UFO, and
purely for purposes of recalling to mind general outline and loca-
tion. Otherwise the information contained in Ex. 2 is inexact and
inaccurate. Exhibit 1 consists of an estimate made in the form of
a diagram of the UFO overlaid upon a map of the city of Rochester,
N.Y. (Monroe Co.). Note in regard to dimensions, and quantity of
the circular, disc-shaped units which make up the object: the es-
timates may vary in excess of plus-or-minus 100% in certain ins-
tances; in certain instances may vary to plus-or-minus 100%; and
in certain instances where noted can be considered accurate. Be-
cause of the overall similarity of the UFO to a plane or solid
geometrical figure (rectangle or cube), Ex. 1 bears the plane
geometry description "ABCD"; a cube figure was not discernible to
the viewer, an inference in such regard might obtain from the
color (white) and material composition (appeared to consist of
ordinary cloud material, condensed moisture) because of a thought
that some thickness of the material would be necessary in order to
obtain a nearly deep, solid white color; however the dimension
referred to "aBbCcDd" was not discernible to the viewer. Note in
regard to reference 'rectangle': no line or linear outline appear-
ed and the word rectangle is used to describe a figure the illus-
tration of which is completely composed of the individual units
contained therein.

General Description: In early or mid-afternoon of Sept. 23,
1967 the writer observed (see Ex. 1) a figure in the sky. Observa-
tion made at 49 West Ave., Rochester, N.Y. 14619, Monroe Co. The
white, circular, disc or wafer shaped objects were all of same
size and shape and the distance between each of the objects was
exactly the same. For mechanical purposes the writer estimates
these objects to be 365' dia. subj. to correction in regard to
dimensions as noted above.

Distance between circular units appeared to be less than
the unit diameters, measurement between parallel tangents
of adjoining discs.

Length of observation period: not less than five minutes and
probably not in excess of twenty minutes. An estimate of
fifteen minutes would not be far wrong.

Weather conditions: the day was bright, fair, sunny, and
breezy; wind 10-20 mph and variable direction however occas-
ionally NE (recollected), however wind velocity and direct-
ion may be qualified, as a recollection, and as being noted


1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60
 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70
 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80
 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90
 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100


101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110
 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120


121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130

131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140


Ex. 2 19th Jan 1












SECTION B-PUBLIC RELATIONS,
INFORMATION, CONTACTS,
AND RELEASES


5c . . . In response to local inquiries regarding UFOs reported in the vicinity of an Air Force base, the base commander may release information to the news media or the public after the sighting has been positively identified. If the stimulus for the sighting is difficult to identify at the base level, the commander may state that the sighting is under investigation and conclusions will be released by SAF-OI after the investigation is completed. The commander may also state that the Air Force will review and analyze the results of the investigation. Any further inquiries will be directed to SAF - OI.


Rochester


NEW YORK




















METROPOLITAN
ROCHESTER
M A P



Supplied Through the Courtesy of
SECURITY TRUST COMPANY
of Rochester







COLLIER RD.	11A	DAVIDSON RD.	47	STANTON RD.	100
COLLE RD.	16D	DEER RD.	5D	STEARNS RD.	100-14A
COLEBROOK DR.	7A	DEHIGH STATION		STEELE RD.	100D
COLLAMER RD.	2C	ROAD	11CD-12C	STEWART RD.	100A
COOK RD.	1A	LEXINGTON AV.	6D	STONE RD.	8D-12CD
COOPER RD.	7A	LIBERTY ST.	11A	STONY BROOK RD.	15D
COUNTY LINE		LIGHTHOUSE RD.	2B	STONY LOMESOME RD.	
ROAD	4AC-8BD-13D	LIME ROCK RD.	14A		
COURT ST.	7C	LINDEN AV.	11A-12B	STONY POINT RD.	10AB
COVELL RD.	9B	LING RD.	3D	STOTTLE RD.	10D
CREEK ST.	7D	LAUREL HILL DR.	12C	STRAUB RD.	6D
CRITTEADEN RD.	11B	LONG POND RD.	3C-6C	STRYKER RD.	10D
CULVER RD.	7B-12A	LOUD RD.	11D	STULL RD.	15D
CURTIS RD.	2CB	LOWDEN POINT RD.	1C	SUNSET BLVD.	12D
		LYELL RD.	5D-6D	SWAMP RD.	4CD
		LYELL ST.	5C	SWEDEN WALKER	
		LYNDON RD.	13D	ROAD	2C-4E
		LYONS RD.	16A	SWEETS CORNERS	
				ROAD	8CD
DAILEY RD.	13D				
DAVIS RD.	10AB				
DEAN RD.	5B				
DENSE RD.	6B				
DEWEY AV.	3D-1D				
DEWITT ST.	9B-10A				
DICKINSON RD.	7B				
DORSEY DR.	6B				
DOUGLAS RD.	18AB				
DRAFFIN RD.	2C				
DRAKE RD.	1A-4A				
DRIVING PARK AV.	6D				
DRUMM RD.	7B-8A				
DUBLIN RD.	8D-13D				
DUNDAR RD.	2CD				
EAST AV.	2D-7C-12B				
EAST ST.	12D-16BC				
EASTERN THRUWAY					
CONN.	12D-13C				
EAST FORK	1B				
EAST HENRIETTA					
ROAD	12A-15B				
EAST JEFFERSON					
ROAD	12D-13C				
EAST MANITOU RD.	3C				
EAST RIVER RD.	11B-15C				
ERSARY RD.	14A				
EDGEMERE DR.	3CD				
EDGEWOOD AV.	12BC				
EDMUNDS RD.	4A				
ELMGROVE RD.	6A-11A				
ELMWOOD AV.	12AB				
EMBRERY RD.	7D-8C				
EMPIRE BLVD.	7D				
ENGLISH RD.	6AB				
ERIE STATION RD.	15AB				
EULER RD.	9B-10A				
EXCHANGE ST.	7C-12A				
FAIRBANK RD.	10A				
FAIRPORT RD.	12B-13A				
FAIRPORT NINE MILE					
POINT RD.	8C-13A				
FARRELL RD. EXT.	11C				
FELLOWS RD.	13B				
FENTON RD.	11A				
FETZNER RD.	6B				
FISHELL RD.	15AD				
FISHER RD.	11A-13C				
FIVE MILE LINE					
ROAD	8A-11A				
FIVE POINTS RD.	15D				
FLINT HILL RD.	14A				
FLYNN RD.	3C-5A				
FOLEY RD.	11C				
FRENCH RD.	12AB				
FRESH RD.	3C				
FURMAN RD.	13A				
GALLUP RD.	4D-5A				
GARDNER RD.	4D				
GARNEY RD.	13C				
GEBHARDT RD.	13A				
GENESSEE ST.	11B				
GENESSEE PARK BLVD.	11B				
GILLET RD.	5BD				
GILMAN RD.	9B-10A				
GILMORE RD.	4B				
GLEASON RD.	9A				
GLENHAVEN RD.	7D				
GOLAH RD.	14C				
GOLDEN RD.	10A				
MACEDON CENTER					
ROAD	13D				
MAGGINS RD.	14A				
MAIDEN LN.	6AB				
MAIN ST.	16C				
MAIN ST. E.	7C				
MAIN ST. W.	6D-7C				
MALLOCK RD.	9D-14A				
MANITON RD.	2D-10B				
MANITON BEACH RD.	3A				
MANSE LN.	7D				
MAPLE DR.	7B				
MAPLE ST.	11CD				
MARCHNER RD.	8CD				
MARSH RD.	12D-13AC				
MARSHALL RD.	11A				
MARTIN RD.	1B-2A-15B				
MASON RD.	13D				
MCCALL RD.	6B				
MCQUIRE RD.	6B				
MCINTOSH RD.	9B				
MENDON CENTER RD.	16A				
MENDON-IONIA RD.	16B				
MENDON-PITTSFORD					
ROAD	12D-16B				
MIDDLE RD.	11D-15B				
MILE SQUARE RD.	16B				
MILL RD.	5B-12D-13C				
MILLER RD.	11D				
MITCHELL RD.	12D				
MONROE AV.	7C-12D				
MOORE RD.	15A				
MORGAN RD.	10C-11C				
MORTON RD.	1A				
MOSCOW RD.	1AB				
MOSLEY RD.	11C				
MOUL RD.	2AB				
MOUNT READ BLVD.	6C				
MUMFORD RD.	14A				
NEW YORK STATE					
THRUWAY	14A-16B				
NORRIS RD.	16B				
NORTH AV.	2BD-6A				
NORTH DR.	3C				
NORTH RD.	14AB				
NORTH GREECE RD.	3C				
NORTH HAMLEN RD.	2A				
NORTH HAMLEN-LAKE					
ONTARIO RD.	1B				
NORTH THURP RD.	8D				
NORTON ST.	6D-7D				
OATKA AV.	14A				
OATKA CREEK RD.	14A				
O'BRIEN RD.	9D				
OGDEN CENTER RD.	5D				
OLD PENFIELD RD.	12B				
ORCHARD DR.	10B				
ORCHARD ST.	6A				
OWENS RD.	4BD				
PALMER RD.	10C				
PALMYRA RD.	12D-13D				
PANNELL CIR.	11D				
PANNELL RD.	13D				
PANORAMA	7D-13A				
PANORAMA TR. S.	12B				
PARDEE RD.	7D				
PARISH RD.	4B-16D				
TAYLOR RD.	16DD				
TELEPHONE RD.	15AB				
THAYER RD.	13D				
THOMAS AV.	7A				
THORNELL RD.	12D-13C				
TITUS AV.	7A				
TOBEY RD.	12D				
TOBIN RD.	12C-16A				
TOWN LINE RD.	14B-16A				
TOWN LINE RD.	1D-6C				
TRABOLD RD.	14A				
TRIMMER RD.	5AC				
TROLLEY BLVD.	6CD				
TRUCK HILL RD.	13C				
UNION ST.	5B-10BD-14B				
UNIVERSITY AV.	7C				
VALLANCE RD.	14B				
VALLEY VIEW DR.	11C				
VANATSLYNE	8A				
VAN VOORHIS RD.	12D				
VICTOR RD.	11D				
VOLK RD.	7B				
VOSBURG RD.	7B				
VROOM ST.	5C-10A				
WAKEMAN RD.	13BD				
WALKER RD.	18D				
WALL RD.	8A				
WARDELL RD.	16A				
WARD HILL RD.	15B-16A				
WARENG RD.	7C				
WASHINGTON BLVD.					
	5C-10A				
WASHINGTON ST.	5A-11A				
WATSON RD.	13B				
WEBSTER RD.	5B-10A				
WEGMAN RD.	6C-11A				
WEIDNER RD.	11AB				
WEILAND RD.	6CD				
WEST AV.	2CD-8B				
WESTERN EXP.	10B				
WESTFALL RD.	11B-13B				
WEST FOLK	1B				
WEST HENRIETTA RD.					
	12A-15CD				
WEST SIDE DR.	9B-11A				
WEST SWEDEN RD.	4C-1A				
WHALEN RD.	1ABD				
WHEATLAND-CENTER					
ROAD	10C-14A				
WHITE RD.	4C				
WHITING RD.	8A				
WHITNEY RD.	14AB				
WHITTIER ST.	4D-10B				
WICKENS RD.	10CD				
WIDGER RD.	5C-10A				
WILDERIAH RD.	12C				
WILDER RD.	2B				
WILKINSON RD.	11D-15D				
WILLARD RD.	12D				
WILMARTH RD. N.	12D				
WILMARTH RD. S.	10B				
WILLIAMS RD.	12A				
WINSLOW RD.	8A				
WINTON RD.	7D-12AB				
WOODARD RD.	9A				
WOODHULL RD.	8A				
WOODRUFF RD.	8C				
WOOLSTON RD.	1B				

MONROE
COUNTY



William Beoney
109 Stillwell Drive
Fremont Manor
E. Syracuse, N. Y. 13057

30 Dec., 1967

Hector Quintanilla, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Project Blue Book
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio 45433

Dear Major,

On Oct. 4 I sent a letter to Bluebook, which was never answered. Whether it ever reached you I do not know, but below is the content of that letter.

Dear Major,

I would like to report an unconventional aerial object which I saw on Saturday, Sept. 30, 1967. It was about 11:00 P.M. (EST), and I was walking with a friend (Albert Fei, Forest Dr. Ithaca, N.Y. 14850). As we were crossing a bridge in Ithaca he pointed out a luminous, yellow point of light moving across the sky at about the speed of an Echo satellite. It was in sight for about one minute during which time it passed behind a tree. At one point I stopped a man who told us that he could not explain it, either. It finally gave the appearance of disintegrating when it dropped three or four dimmer yellow spots until it was "used up" and gone.


It was about the brilliancy of an airplane's lights at night and didn't change speed or brightness. The outline was like a bright star. It was at a 20 degree elevation and was west of us moving north. It seemed to be about a mile away.

Please do not tell me that I saw an airplane. Airplanes make noise (this object was silent), have red and green blinking lights, not a steady yellow one, and move faster than this did.

It wasn't anything more than a few miles away, either, because there was a very thick cumulous cloud covering over the whole sky.

I don't think it could have been a balloon because the only place I can imagine that would launch one would be an airport. This object was moving towards the local air-
...ing winds and the UFO moved

[illegible]



page four

-14- (N.Y.S. Const. Convention, 1967)

To: 4) Monroe County Clerk Office,

The balance of the material herein contained constitutes a *Monroe County* current and personalized and non-dormant opinion of the fo- *New York* under; instance, the opinions of other persons might vary widely in this regard, as would that of the founder according to different circumstances and different times and places. The entire doctrinal content consists of the first paragraph, and on page three, the second paragraph; another instance, religious thoughts (other than the doctrinal contents) might subsequently be added to or subtracted from as a part of, or separate from, this registration and application information.

DATED: Rochester, New York
September 5, 1967

Date Note: This document consists of several separate mailings, primarily consisting of the date given above, and approximately Sept. 18-20, and Sept. 26, 1967

Signatures: Address:

Paul M. O'Leary
49 West Ave.
Rochester, N.Y. 14611

copies: copies of this document, and several parts thereof have been directed to the addressees noted (Secretary, Const. Convention, Administrative staff, Constitutional Convention, Secretary of State New York Religious Charters & Corporations) and also to several Monroe County delegates Lamb, Howe, Lockmeister and Warren, and similarly to several public news media. These papers were prepared at 49 West Ave., Rochester, New York 14611. Adverse persons and parties (including even criminally adverse persons and parties) are included among the addressees herein referred to.

Legal proprietariness and enforcement: the propriety of the contents of this document and the enablement thereof shall stand as a matter of right; any adverse or contrary act notwithstanding the religious contents of this document shall stand and so be legally enabled by the writing and signing thereof, inso facto.

Paul M. O'Leary
Sept. 26, 1967

To: 4) Monroe County Clerk Office, Monroe Co., N.Y.

thoughts in this regard are not related to physical phenomena rather referring to an original human statement of belief, a kind or sort of mechanical religious derivation in thinking, dealing with physical reality rather than psychic phenomena; instance: the writer thinks, because of his religious belief remarked in the first paragraph, that the actual environment he is in at any given moment, as presently printing this document on a typewriter, in a room at the address below, constitutes the see of Peter, the first Bishop of Rome, and, because the writer thinks it is true, the belief is true, for the writer, although of course it may not be true for other persons.

the same sense or by the same token describe the personal religious reference you make to yourself according to the doctrine stated in the first paragraph and the same as would obtain or accrue to other persons affirming this same religious belief or doctrine. The personal ascription is the same as that ascribed to the see of Peter spiritually; the writer has said see as referred to in the first paragraph and the writer is the sole religious authority thereto. Does the statement, or rather the clarification represented by this paragraph constitute a part of the basic tenet or belief of this doctrine, in other words is this paragraph constitute a part of paragraph one, or does this paragraph form a secondary, or derivative aspect of this religious belief? The content of this paragraph constitutes a part of the first paragraph, that is basic tenet, doctrine or belief.

is the writer an evangelist, that is to say a religious person motivated by a zeal to convert persons to this religious belief or doctrine? No. The motivation for founding this belief consists of a desire for relief from persecution, a part of which may be of a racial and/or religious nature or the inference of same, for the founder and for persons related to him by blood or by affinity. Does this remark preclude evangelization? No.

is this a Christian, or other religion, generally? Generally, yes, a Christian religion.

Does the writer generally ascribe to the principles of civil government? Generally, yes. The writer is a voting citizen of the City of Rochester in the County of Monroe, New York State, the United States of America, and is an enrolled member of the Republican political party.

Does the writer subscribe to any theory related to or constituting an overthrow of the U.S. Government or any political subdivision thereof by force or violence? Generally, no.

Conclusion: the basic religious tenet, belief, or doctrine of this registration and duplication information (for religious chart-er) is all contained in the first paragraph, and the second paragraph on page three (p. 12, N.Y. Const. Convention 1967).

ality herein implied as desirable which seeks solutions to moral problems as a goal equal or exceeding the identification and analysis of morality. Does this paragraph concerning moral theology constitute a part of the basic belief or tenet described in the organized theology of the first paragraph? No.

May a person of another faith, sect, religious cult or group belong or become a member of this belief? Probably not, although as is common with theological doctrines of various religious cults, no reason would obtain against the knowledge or admiration or respect of the view set forth herein.

Any membership restrictions as to women, children, others? No.

Beyond the statement of theology in the first paragraph what is required of a person or group in the way of belief? Generally, nothing. This answer is not given with the intention in any manner of derogating a system or any system of religion generally, however with the intention of repudiating to the individual the rightness or correctness of his own theological concept, beyond that stated in the first paragraph. A child for instance might conceive in his mind a representation of God similar to a parent, or, persons with genetic characteristics capable of transmission through heredity might derive similar religious references (to himself). Mainly the thought contained in this paragraph is to ascribe and repudiate to the individual the rightness and propriety of his religious impressions. Does the substance of this thought constitute a part of the basic tenet or faith described in paragraph one. Not really, however the thought obtains to this writer via derivative religious thoughts and another person might from the same starting point derive different religious thoughts.

Sacraments, sacramentals, places of worship, organization and enabling of religious. These may vary widely all the way from the completely private practice, or near-completely private practice of religion by the undersigned (the founder) to a completely systematized church group organization.

Is the founding of this theology, belief, or doctrine the result of supernatural occurrence, visitation, apparition or related religious phenomena? As far as the writer knows or thinks the thoughts contained herein are purely human and derive from very human aspirations in his mind; this remark is however made with a view and recognition and ascription of good religious or theological thoughts to a God and His system of obtaining good for the human race.

You state in the first paragraph a belief that the actual terra firma of the initial see of Peter which he founded and/or organized in Rome obtains to the practice of this doctrine. Does that refer to a metaphysical or phenomenal transfer of earth, sea, and air from the location observed, to the locale of your person as described in the first paragraph? The writer thinks that the

D. M. Hoare

(Copy New York Constitutional Convention, Application reference infra, for examination approval thereof, and Consideration in regard as to whether or not the Convention take the proper legal enablement requested, in view of the probable adversity of the State's Executive Departments including the Department of State).

49 West Ave.
Rochester, New York 14611
September 24, 1967

Department of State
Religious Corporations Section
(Religious Charters)
Albany, New York

Subject: Registration, and Application Information (Religious Charters). N.Y. Laws, Religious Corporations; Inclosed \$1.00 as Registration Fee, please prepare Application by attaching this Paper thereto, prepare and remit the Charter, and Bill Incorporation Charges Later.

Dear Sir:

This is an application and registration (supra), the information herein contained in regard thereto.

Name: Person, or Church of the See of Peter.

Founded: September, 1966

Place of Founding: New York State, United States of America
Rochester, New York, Oswego, New York, Manlius, New York.

Statement of Theology, Belief, or Doctrine: A personal belief in the See or Diocese of Peter (Matt. 16:18) with out reference religiously to any other religious sect interpretation thereof and the obtaining of said see or part thereof to the person of the undersigned in a physical space which may be described as that part or portion of finite space interior from the configuration of the body and nearby finite space; and the obtaining of the See of Peter per se to that part or portion of the earth as configured by the presence of the person of the undersigned, and immediately adjacent parts; and the same to apply to the related terrestrial sites and heavens. This belief or doctrine may obtain to a 'group' or 'class' as distinguished from an individual's personal belief. The founder (the undersigned) is not a member of any such group, hence, the church or 'see of Peter' belief herein referred to obtains personally; a group of persons affirming the above as the only basic tenet of their religious belief may unite in a religious corporation according to ordinary and common usages. See also page three, (p.12, NYS Const Conv '67) para 12.

Moral Theology, a descriptive system of moral theology whereby moral analysis (distinguishing between right and wrong) is secondary or merely antecedent and preliminary usage, to a system of cor-

Paul M. O'Heary
Sept 26, 1967

STATE OF NEW YORK
* * *
RELIG. & FRATERNAL CORP. LAW

CHURCH:

Person, or Church of the
See of Peter

STATEMENT OF

DOCTRINE

orig. 4/1

Paul M. O'Leary
49 West Ave.
Rochester, N.Y.
14611

Enclosure

Case includes ten (10) 8"X10" photographs.

NCIO

Sept 29, 67

THE FOLLOWING WAS FORWARDED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO ON 19 JAN 68

Photographs submitted by ~~_____~~ of Windsor, Ontario, Canada
Also his NCIO 30 Nov 67

N C I O

Sept 29

TDPT (UFO) Maj Quintanilla/70916/mhs/19 Jan 68

19 JAN 1968

UFO Photographs

~~REDACTED~~

Windsor, Ontario, Canada

1. This replies to your latest letter regarding your photographs of unidentified flying objects. We are returning your negatives without performing an analysis on them. Thank you for admitting that your photographs are "fakes."
2. Since the United States Air Force does not investigate sightings outside the continental limits of the United States, we are filing your report for information purposes only.
3. Thank you for your continued interest in the Project Blue Book program on UFOs.

④
DIRECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

1 Atch
Two negatives

UFO (UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY

a UFO before, and I did not report this to anyone else yet.

Last March you answered a letter from me and said, among other things, "Air Force Regulation 200-2 does not prohibit Air Force personnel from releasing details of unexplained UFO reports. The Air Force prohibits the base from issuing premature information on UFO sightings which they cannot solve." Has paragraph 11 been changed? As far as I know it reads,

"Air Force personel, other than those of the Office of Information Service, will not contact private individuals on UFO cases nor will they..."

Finally, may I have a copy of Bluebook's publication?"

Sincerely,

75-05

*Photo
sighting*

117
DEC 1 1967

TDPT (UFO) Maj H Quintanilla, Jr/70916/1sb/1 Dec 67

UFO Negatives

[REDACTED]

Windsor, Ontario, CANADA

1. This replies to your letter of September 29, 1967, in which you inclosed two negatives of unidentified flying objects (UFOs).
2. Although the Aerial Phenomena Office does not investigate sightings outside of the continental United States, we would like to obtain additional information on your sighting. If you would complete the inclosed AF Form 117 and photographic data sheet and return them in the self-addressed envelope we would appreciate it.
3. Because of an error made while having prints made, we are unable to identify which negatives are yours. Inclosed are prints of two negatives that we feel may be yours. Please specify on the AF Form 117 whether these prints are from the negatives you sent. You may keep these prints if you wish.
4. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

H
DIRECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

1 Atch
Prints (2)

+ photo sheet

117

October 2, 1967

Dear [REDACTED]

We are sending you some information on unidentified flying objects (UFOs) as you requested in your letter of September 29.

The negatives you sent to us we are sending to our technical office at Wright-Patterson AFB for them to analyze. When they have finished, we will return them, telling you what they found out.

Thank you for your interest in the U. S. Air Force UFO program.

Sincerely,

Civil Branch
Community Relations Div.
Office of Information

3 Attachments

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Windsor, Ontario, CANADA

He kept working on the [REDACTED]?

Windsor On
Sept. 29/10

Dear Sir:

I am in the 8TH grade at
St Louis school. We are discussing
the subject of U.F.O.s in my class
and I was elected as chairman.
I am well known in the school as
the expert on U.F.O.s and in my
neighbourhood. I told my teacher
that I would write to you for
some information and pictures if
possible on the matter, we have
a class of 32. And if I have seen
along two negatives I would like
you to check them out and send
me what you found.

Thank You:

P.S. I would like the negatives but
if possible to make prints to show
the class.

Pat
Canini



"IFO" IN SIGHT—Young science fiction fans Pat Canini, left, and Tim Kennedy clown around at shooting down an enlarged picture of the "flying saucer" they launched as a gag

at Tecumseh and Lauzon Rds. The boys shot a sequence of pictures concluding with their saucer safely landed in a field. Smoke was used to simulate reality.

'Identified flying object' 'Martian' washing machine lid

By BOB SUTTON

A new type of UFO was sighted Saturday near Tecumseh and Lauzon Rds.

The object was photographed by two sneaker-and-dungaree boy scientists who call it an IFO—an identified flying object.

They can identify it, you see, because they made it to fool their young friends into believing in flying saucers.

The gag originated in the minds of Tim Kennedy, 13, of 1134 St. Louis Ave. and Patrick Canini, 12, of 1125 Edward Ave.—an outgrowth of their activities as members of St. Louis School science club.

No amateurs these! To make the pictures convincing they created a foggy smoke screen about the base of their landed saucer with a combination of potassium nitrate and sugar.

The saucer itself was a retired washing machine lid and it was supported by a one-time bird cage stand.

The object was a success. "We told the story without much results, but as soon as we showed the pictures we convinced quite a few of our friends," was the way Tim put it.

The pictures were Tim's responsibility. He's converted a closet at home into a darkroom and is threatening to become more owl than boy as he labors with hypo and double exposures.

The boys are working on a display project for school—a model rocket with a live seeder for astronaut.

They've already done their version of Canada's Alouette communications satellite.

"And we've launched some rockets, too," explained Pat.


Altitude record at the moment: "Anywhere from 10 feet up to two telephone pole lengths, depending on the wind."

Right now the boys are holding their breaths until

they hit high school where the resources of a full-fledged physics and chemistry laboratory will be available. From then on the sky's the limit.

For Pat: "I'd like to be either an astronaut and enter the space race for Canada or a physics scientist."

For Tim: "I like photography now but I hope to be a radiological technician."



SMOKE BLOSSOMS AROUND HOMEMADE "SAUCER"

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Windsor, Ont.
Canada.


Dear Mr. Quintanilla Jr.

About the negatives, you sent me back two pictures accompanied by a letter saying you wanted information on them. That will be difficult, you see, because the pictures are not real. It was a part of an experiment of mine, mine to see for myself how good you actually analyzed (checked) photographs sent in to you. If this is how you handle all the pictures, I'm afraid you have quite ~~abused~~ a few houses in your file. Personally I think the photographs were very easy to see that they were fake. (You +

You have given me my experiment to be ~~correct~~ correct.

All though a few days

Before I received your letter
my father and I did show
something in the paper which
I have deposited in your
file sheet.



P.S.

The photographs were
miserable, and for all you
please send me a few
more file sheets.

And thanks for send-
ing me the enlarged
photographs.

I hope the way the
project is handled any
ways.

NCIO

See photo case
BOB Nr 21-R258 etc 29 Sept.

SIGHTING OF UNIDENTIFIED PHENOMENA QUESTIONNAIRE

THIS QUESTIONNAIRE HAS BEEN PREPARED SO THAT YOU CAN GIVE THE U.S. AIR FORCE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE CONCERNING THE UNIDENTIFIED PHENOMENON THAT YOU HAVE OBSERVED. PLEASE TRY TO ANSWER ALL OF THE QUESTIONS. THE INFORMATION YOU GIVE WILL BE USED FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES. YOUR NAME WILL NOT BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH ANY OF YOUR STATEMENTS OR CONCLUSIONS WITHOUT YOUR PERMISSION. RETURN TO AIR FORCE BASE INVESTIGATOR FOR FORWARDING TO FTD (TDETR), WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO 45433, IAW 80-17. (IF ADDITIONAL SHEETS ARE NEEDED FOR NARRATIVE OR SKETCHES ATTACH SECURELY TO THIS FORM OR ANNOTATE WITH YOUR NAME FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

1. WHEN DID YOU SEE THE PHENOMENON?

DAY 30 MONTH NOV YEAR 1957

2. WHAT TIME DID YOU FIRST SIGHT THE PHENOMENON?

HOUR 10 MINUTES 05 ☐ A.M. ☐ P.M.

3. WHAT TIME DID YOU LAST SIGHT THE PHENOMENON?

HOUR 10 MINUTES 10 ☐ A.M. ☐ P.M.

4. TIME/ZONE

☐ DAYLIGHT SAVINGS

☒ STANDARD

☐ EASTERN

☐ CENTRAL


☐ MOUNTAIN

☐ PACIFIC


☐ OTHER

5. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? IF IN CITY, GIVE THE NEAREST STREET ADDRESS AND INDICATE ON A HAND DRAWN MAP WHERE YOU WERE STANDING WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADDRESS. IF IN THE COUNTRY, IDENTIFY THE HIGHWAY YOU WERE ON OR NEAR AND TRY TO FIX A DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM SOME RECOGNIZABLE LANDMARK.


In Windsor Ont. Canada, on Edward Ave





6. IMAGINE YOU ARE AT THE POINT SHOWN IN THE SKETCH, PLACE AN "A" ON THE CURVED LINE TO SHOW HOW HIGH THE PHENOMENON WAS ABOVE THE HORIZON, OR SKYLINE, WHEN FIRST SEEN. PLACE A "B" ON THE SAME CURVED LINE TO SHOW HOW HIGH ABOVE THE HORIZON THE PHENOMENON WAS WHEN LAST SEEN.




6A. NOW IMAGINE YOU ARE AT THE CENTER OF THE COMPASS ROSE. PLACE AN "A" ON THE COMPASS TO INDICATE THE DIRECTION TO THE PHENOMENON WHEN FIRST SEEN. PLACE A "B" ON THE COMPASS TO INDICATE THE DIRECTION TO THE PHENOMENON WHEN LAST SEEN.



7. IN THE SKETCH BELOW, PLACE AN "A" AT THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON WHEN FIRST SEEN, AND A "B" AT THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON WHEN LAST SEEN. CONNECT THE "A" AND "B" WITH A LINE TO APPROXIMATE THE MOVEMENT OF THE PHENOMENON BETWEEN "A" AND "B". THAT IS, SCHEMATICALLY SHOW WHETHER THE MOVEMENT APPEARED TO BE STRAIGHT, CURVED OR ZIG-ZAG. REFER TO SMALLER SKETCH AS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW TO COMPLETE THE LARGER SKETCH.



8. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? (Check appropriate blocks.)			
OUTDOORS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN BUSINESS SECTION OF CITY	
IN BUILDING		<input type="checkbox"/> IN RESIDENTIAL SECTION OF CITY	
IN CAR	<input type="checkbox"/> AS DRIVER	<input type="checkbox"/> AS PASSENGER	<input type="checkbox"/> IN OPEN COUNTRYSIDE
IN BOAT		<input type="checkbox"/> NEAR AIRFIELD	
IN AIRPLANE	<input type="checkbox"/> AS PILOT	<input type="checkbox"/> AS PASSENGER	<input type="checkbox"/> FLYING OVER CITY
OTHER		<input type="checkbox"/> FLYING OVER OPEN COUNTRY	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	
A. IF YOU WERE IN A VEHICLE, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:			
WHAT DIRECTION WERE YOU MOVING?		HOW FAST WERE YOU MOVING?	
NORTH	EAST	DID YOU STOP ANYTIME WHILE OBSERVING THE PHENOMENON? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
SOUTH	WEST		
NORTHEAST	SOUTHEAST		
NORTHWEST	SOUTHWEST		
EXPLAIN WHETHER SUCH MOVEMENT EFFECTS YOUR SKETCHES IN ITEMS 5 AND 6.			
DESCRIBE TYPE OF VEHICLE YOU WERE IN AND TYPE OF ROAD, TERRAIN OR BODY OF WATER YOU TRAVERSED DURING THE SIGHTING. STATE WHETHER WINDOWS OR CONVERTIBLE TOP WERE UP OR DOWN.			
HOW MUCH OTHER TRAFFIC WAS THERE?			
DID YOU NOTICE ANY AIRPLANES? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE WHEN THEY WERE IN SIGHT RELATIVE TO THE TIME OF SIGHTING THE PHENOMENON AND WHERE THEY WERE IN THE SKY RELATIVE TO THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON.			
9. HOW LONG WAS THE PHENOMENON IN SIGHT?			
LENGTH OF TIME	25 min.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CERTAIN OF TIME	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT VERY SURE
		<input type="checkbox"/> FAIRLY CERTAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> JUST A GUESS
HOW WAS TIME DETERMINED? Time taken			
WAS THE PHENOMENON IN SIGHT CONTINUOUSLY? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO. IF "NO," INDICATE WHETHER THIS IS DUE TO YOUR MOVEMENT OR THE BEHAVIOR OF THE PHENOMENON, AND DESCRIBE SUCH MOVEMENT OR BEHAVIOR. INDICATE DISAPPEARANCES ON PREVIOUS SKETCHES.			
			

10. IF THERE WERE MORE THAN ONE PHENOMENON, HOW MANY WERE THERE? DRAW A PICTURE TO SHOW HOW THEY WERE ARRANGED. DID THIS ARRANGEMENT CHANGE DURING THE SIGHTING?

Single light

11. CONDITIONS (Check appropriate blocks.)

A. SKY		B. WEATHER	
DAY		CUMULUS CLOUDS (Low fluffy)	FOG OR MIST
TWILIGHT		CIRRUS CLOUDS (High fleecy or Herringbone)	HEAVY RAIN
NIGHT			LIGHT RAIN OR DRIZZLE
CLEAR		NIMBUS CLOUDS (Rain)	HAIL
PARTLY CLOUDY		CUMULONIMBUS CLOUDS (Thunderstorms)	SNOW OR SLEET
COMPLETELY OVERCAST			UNKNOWN
		HAZE OR SMOG	NONE OF THE ABOVE

C. IF THE SIGHTING WAS AT TWILIGHT OR NIGHT, WHAT DID YOU NOTICE ABOUT THE STARS AND MOON?

(1) STARS	(2) MOON
NONE	BRIGHT MOONLIGHT
A FEW	MOON WITH HALO
MANY	MOON HIDDEN BY CLOUDS
UNKNOWN	PARTIAL (New or quarter)

D. IF SIGHTING WAS IN DAYLIGHT, WAS THE SUN VISIBLE? ☐ YES ☐ NO. IF "YES," WHERE WAS THE SUN AS YOU FACED THE PHENOMENON?

IN FRONT OF YOU	TO YOUR RIGHT	OVERHEAD (Near noon)
IN BACK OF YOU	TO YOUR LEFT	UNKNOWN

E. SPECIFY THE MAJOR SOURCE OF ILLUMINATION PRESENT DURING THE SIGHTING, SUCH AS THE SUN, HEADLIGHTS OR STREET LAMP, ETC. FOR TERRESTRIAL ILLUMINATION, SPECIFY DISTANCE TO LIGHT SOURCE.

Headlights

12. GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PHENOMENON, INDICATING WHETHER IT APPEARED DARK OR LIGHT, WHETHER IT REFLECTED LIGHT OR WAS SELF-LUMINOUS AND WHAT COLORS YOU NOTICED. DESCRIBE YOUR IMPRESSION OF WHETHER IT WAS SOLID OR TRANSPARENT, WHETHER EDGES WERE SHARP OR FUZZY. DESCRIBE THE SHAPE OR INDICATE IF IT APPEARED AS A POINT OF LIGHT. INDICATE COMPARISONS WITH OTHER OBSERVED OBJECTS, LIKE STARS, A LIGHT OR OTHER OBJECT IN YOUR FIELD OF VIEW.

It was solid, round and was self-luminous. It looked like a bright star. I noticed the stars were the brightest.

Heavy cloud layer

Still visible through
the branches



2. I stopped
a man who
watched while
object broke apart

1. object first
noticed by
Fei

Bridge

W. Farnell

13.	DID THE PHENOMENON	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
	MOVE IN A STRAIGHT LINE?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	STAND STILL AT ANYTIME?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	SUDDENLY SPEED UP AND RUN AWAY?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	BREAK UP IN PARTS AND EXPLODE?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	CHANGE COLOR?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	GIVE OFF SMOKE?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	CHANGE BRIGHTNESS?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	CHANGE SHAPE?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	FLASH OR FLICKER?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	DISAPPEAR AND REAPPEAR?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	SPIN LIKE A TOP?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	MAKE A NOISE?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	FLUTTER OR WOBBLE?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

14. WHAT DREW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE PHENOMENON?

*I was looking at the stars when
I noticed one very bright light
was moving among the other
ones*


A. HOW DID IT FINALLY DISAPPEAR?

*It disappeared as overcast
part of the sky*

B. DID THE PHENOMENON MOVE BEHIND OR IN FRONT OF SOMETHING, LIKE A CLOUD, TREE, OR BUILDING AT ANY TIME?
☐ YES ☐ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.


*Once or twice it was in
front of a cirrus cloud.*


15. DRAW A PICTURE THAT WILL SHOW THE SHAPE OF THE PHENOMENON. INCLUDE AND LABEL ANY DETAILS THAT MIGHT HAVE APPEARED AS WINGS OR PROTRUSIONS, AND INDICATE EXHAUST OR VAPOR TRAILS. INDICATE BY AN ARROW THE DIRECTION THE PHENOMENON WAS MOVING.




16. WHAT WAS THE ANGULAR SIZE? HOLD A MATCH AT ARM'S LENGTH IN FRONT OF A KNOWN OBJECT, SUCH AS A STREET LAMP OR THE MOON. NOTE HOW MUCH OF THE OBJECT IS COVERED BY THE HEAD OF THE MATCH. NOW IF YOU HAD BEEN ABLE TO PERFORM THIS EXPERIMENT AT THE TIME OF THE SIGHTING, ESTIMATE WHAT FRACTION OF THE PHENOMENON WOULD HAVE BEEN COVERED BY THE MATCH HEAD.

The head of a wooden match


17. DID YOU OBSERVE THE PHENOMENON THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? INCLUDE INFORMATION ON MODEL, TYPE, FILTER, LENS PRESCRIPTION OR OTHER APPLICABLE DATA.	
EYEGLASSES	CAMERA VIEWER
SUNGLASSES	BINOCULARS
WINDSHIELD	TELESCOPE
SIDE WINDOW OF VEHICLE	THEODOLITE
WINDOWPANE	OTHER
A. DO YOU ORDINARILY WEAR GLASSES? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	B. DO YOU USE READING GLASSES? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
18. WHAT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE SPEED OF THE PHENOMENON? GIVE ESTIMATE OF SPEED <u> </u> .	19. WHAT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE DISTANCE OF THE PHENOMENON? GIVE ESTIMATE OF DISTANCE <u> </u> .
20. IN ORDER THAT WE MAY OBTAIN AS CLEAR A PICTURE AS POSSIBLE OF WHAT YOU SAW, DESCRIBE IN YOUR OWN WORDS A COMMON OBJECT OR OBJECTS WHICH, WHEN PLACED IN THE SKY, SIMILAR TO WHERE YOU NOTED THE PHENOMENON, WOULD BEAR SOME RESEMBLANCE TO WHAT YOU SAW. DESCRIBE SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE COMMON OBJECT AND WHAT YOU SAW.	
<p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: cursive;">It looked like a bucket ball with the sun reflecting off of the sides of it, through the telescope</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">LIKE THIS</p> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">  <p style="margin-top: 10px;">REFLECTION</p> </div>	
21. DID YOU NOTICE ANY ODOR, NOISE, OR HEAT EMANATING FROM THE PHENOMENON OR ANY EFFECT ON YOURSELF, ANIMALS OR MACHINERY IN THE VICINITY? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.	
A. DID THE PHENOMENON DISTURB THE GROUND OR LEAVE ANY PHYSICAL EVIDENCE. <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.	





UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED







FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

30 Sept 67

5 Oct., 1967

E. Syracuse, N.Y. 1305

Major Hector Quintanilla, Jr.
Wright- Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Dear Major,

I would like to report an unconventional aerial object which I saw on Saturday, Sept. 30, 1967. It was about 11:00 (E.S.T.), and I was walking with a friend [REDACTED], Ithaca, N.Y. 14850. As we were crossing a bridge in Ithaca he pointed out a luminous yellow dot of light moving across the sky at about the speed of an Echo satellite. It was in sight for about one minute during which time it passed in back of a tree. At one point I stopped a man who told us that he couldn't explain it either. It finally gave the appearance of disintegrating when it dropped three or four smaller yellow pieces, which burned out before they reached the ground, until it was "used up".

It was about the brilliancy of an airplane's running lights at night and didn't change speed or brightness. The outline was like that of a bright star. It was at about a 20 degree elevation and west of us then moved to the north. It seemed to be about a mile away. There was no exhaust evident.

Please don't tell me that I saw an airplane. Planes make noise (this object was silent) and have red and green blinking lights, not a steady yellow one.

It wasn't anything more than a few miles away, either, because there was a very heavy cumulous cloud covering over the whole sky.

I don't think it any kind of balloon because the only place I can imagine that would launch one would be the

invested weather data (surface winds)

passing
weather data

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC


UNCLASSIFIED







UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

1 - 7 OCTOBER 1967 SIGHTINGS

<u>DATE</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>OBSERVER</u>	<u>EVALUATION</u>
Oct	Newfield, New York	[REDACTED]	Other (UNRELIABLE REPORT)
Oct	Tipp City, Ohio	[REDACTED]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
1	Queens, New York	[REDACTED]	AIRCRAFT W/ADVERTISING SIGN)
2	Cold Springs, New York	[REDACTED]	Other (REFLECTION)
2	Xenia, Ohio	[REDACTED]	AIRCRAFT
3	Eastern United States	Multiple	Other (BARIUM CLOUD RELEASE)
4	New York, New York	[REDACTED]	SATELLITE (ECHO I)
4	Carmel, Washington	[REDACTED]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
4	Morgantown, West Virginia	Multiple	INSUFFICIENT DATA
4	Lawrenceburg, Indiana	[REDACTED]	SATELLITE (ECHOI)
4	Windsor, Connecticut	Multiple	INSUFFICIENT DATA
5	Liverpool, New York	[REDACTED]	Other (CONFLICTING DATA)
5	Duluth AFB, Minnesota	Military, Civilian	Astro (STAR/PLANET)
6	Denver, Colorado	Civilian	BALLOON
6	Cincinnati, Ohio	Multiple	BALLOON
6-7	Cincinnati, Ohio	[REDACTED]	INSUFFICIENT DATA
6	Middlesex, New Jersey	[REDACTED]	AIRCRAFT
6	Vandenberg AFB, California	Military (RADAR)	Visual: Other (MIRAGE) Radar: Other(1. BIRDS) (2. ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION)
7	Omaha, Nebraska	[REDACTED] (PHOTO)	Sighting: AIRCRAFT Photo: INSUFFICIENT CLARITY

ADDITIONAL REPORTED SIGHTINGS (NOT CASES)


<u>DATE</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>SOURCE</u>	<u>EVALUATION</u>
Oct	Queens, New York	[REDACTED]	
Oct	Louisville, Kentucky	Anonymous (Photographs)	
Oct	Hanaker, Va.	[REDACTED]	
2	Ipswich, Massachusetts	[REDACTED]	
3	Bay Shore, New York	[REDACTED]	BARIUM CLOUD RELEASE
3	Cambridge, Massachusetts	[REDACTED]	
3	Brook, New York	[REDACTED]	
3	Farmington, Massachusetts	[REDACTED]	
3	Elizabeth, New Jersey	[REDACTED]	
4	Turkey	Military	
4	Middletown, Ohio	[REDACTED]	
7	Pacific	Military	
7	Mount Prospect, Illinois	Newspaper	
7	British Columbia	[REDACTED] (Ltr)	

airport. This object was moving towards the local airport. There were gusty, shifting winds and the UFO moved steadily. Also, what made it break apart?

I've never seen a UFO before, and I have not reported this to anyone else yet.

Last March you answered a letter from me, and said, among other things, "Air Force regulation does not prohibit Air Force personnel from releasing details of unexplained UFO reports. The Air Force prohibits the base from issuing premature information on UFO sightings which they cannot solve." To the best of my ^{own} knowledge paragraph 11 reads, "Air Force personnel...will not contact private individuals on UFO cases nor will they discuss..." Was the regulation changed?

Finally, may I have a copy of Blue Book's publication?


Sincerely yours,


WEB:vs


① Mr. Fei points
out object

② I stop a man
and ask him what
he thinks it is,

④ object "disintegrates"



Map



apparent
path of
1950